

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Office Action issued June 28, 2006, claims 1-5 and 7-9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph as being indefinite. Claims 1 and 7-9 were also rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting. Claim 1 was amended and claims 1-5 and 7-9 are pending in the application.

35 U.S.C. §112

The Examiner rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §112 because the recitation of the language “may be” in the claim renders the claim indefinite. The Applicant has amended claim 1 to recite that the transceiver is “configured to” be plugged into the respective receiving section and unplugged therefrom in a quick-connect manner. The Applicant believes that the amendment overcomes this rejection of claim 1 and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

The Examiner also rejected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. §112 because the language “in a quick-connect manner” is a relative term. The Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection. The scope of this language is found on page 10, lines 14-16 of the specification. Accordingly, the Applicant believes that the rejection has been overcome and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting

Claims 1 and 7-9 were also rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting. The Applicant submits herewith a terminal disclaimer disclaiming the terminal part of any patent granted on the above-identified application that would extend

Patent Application No. 10/005,878


Filed: December 7, 2001

beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent No. 7,010,233, filed December 7, 2001, from application no. 10/005,177, and hereby agrees that any patent so granted on the above-identified application shall be enforceable only for and during such period that the legal title to said patent shall be the same as the legal title to U.S. Patent No. 7,010,233.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, all of the Examiner's rejections to the claims are believed to be overcome. The Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and issuance of a Notice of Allowance for all the claims remaining in the application. Should the Examiner feel further communication would facilitate prosecution, he is urged to call the undersigned at the phone number provided below. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any insufficient fees or credit any overpayment associated with this application to Deposit Account No. 19-5127 (19378.0019).

Respectfully Submitted,



Chadwick A. Jackson

Dated: November 9, 2006

Bingham McCutchen LLP
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20007
(202) 373-6661